

Sector analyse for the Formido Swift Cup - Qualification

Pos	Nbr	Name / Team name	Sector 1			Sector 2			Sector 3			Theoretical best	Actual best	In
			time	lap	pos	time	lap	pos	time	lap	pos			
1	19	Carlo Bermes	41.331	9	5	46.922	9	1	39.705	9	8	2:07.957	2:07.957	9
2	4	Tim van Gog	41.395	9	6	47.294	9	4	39.629	9	5	2:08.317	2:08.317	9
3	22	Pieter Schothorst	41.522	10	10	47.160	10	2	39.601	8	4	2:08.282	2:08.420	10
4	20	Hans Bos	41.305	10	2	47.667	10	8	39.493	8	2	2:08.464	2:08.523	10
5	24	Niels Langeveld	41.267	8	1	47.272	8	3	39.437	7	1	2:07.975	2:08.553	7
6	25	Paul Flinterman	41.616	9	14	47.903	9	14	39.723	9	9	2:09.241	2:09.241	9
7	15	Sandra Douma	41.409	6	7	47.810	9	10	39.947	9	14	2:09.165	2:09.274	9
8	3	Karel Verspaget	41.327	5	4	47.817	5	11	40.120	7	19	2:09.263	2:09.368	5
9	21	Daan Stots	41.570	9	12	48.154	9	20	39.791	9	11	2:09.514	2:09.514	9
10	11	Suzanne Jager	41.319	8	3	48.096	5	18	39.959	6	15	2:09.373	2:09.516	8
11	30	Mark van der Aa	41.440	8	8	48.128	8	19	39.535	9	3	2:09.102	2:09.534	8
12	28	Alexander Keijzers	41.881	7	19	47.653	7	7	39.701	9	7	2:09.234	2:09.683	7
13	12	Jasper Bijl	41.607	9	13	47.687	7	9	40.323	9	23	2:09.616	2:09.726	7
14	6	Marcel Dekker	41.539	6	11	47.569	9	5	40.099	7	18	2:09.206	2:09.753	6
15	27	Jeroen den Boer	41.876	9	17	47.818	6	12	40.045	9	17	2:09.738	2:09.758	9
16	9	Peter Schreurs	41.878	8	18	47.636	9	6	40.150	7	20	2:09.663	2:09.815	9
17	14	Bart van Raamsdonk	41.504	5	9	47.918	5	15	40.020	4	16	2:09.441	2:10.076	5
18	16	Nick Versteegh	41.691	5	15	47.887	7	13	39.817	5	12	2:09.394	2:10.220	7
19	26	Bjorn Cornelissen	41.697	7	16	48.289	8	21	40.165	8	22	2:10.150	2:10.356	8
20	23	Jeroen Slaghekke	42.323	7	22	47.930	8	16	39.776	7	10	2:10.028	2:10.438	8
21	8	Niels Kool	42.315	7	21	48.303	9	22	39.662	6	6	2:10.279	2:10.642	9
22	13	Jorg Obluda	41.992	7	20	48.751	9	25	39.865	9	13	2:10.607	2:10.708	9
23	7	Kim van den Berg	42.367	9	23	48.310	9	23	40.164	8	21	2:10.840	2:11.203	9
24	10	Robbert Bijl	42.845	9	27	47.982	9	17	40.699	5	25	2:11.525	2:11.536	9
25	5	Marcel van Leen	42.510	8	25	48.696	9	24	40.362	9	24	2:11.567	2:11.891	8
26	29	Maaïke de Wit	42.629	9	26	48.948	9	27	40.740	9	26	2:12.316	2:12.316	9
27	31	Leo van der Eijk	42.396	8	24	48.832	7	26	40.746	8	27	2:11.973	2:12.402	8